

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)		
	10/552,791		SPRINGMANN ET AL.		
	Examiner		Art Unit		
	JAMES M. HEWITT		3679		

All Participants:

(1) JAMES M. HEWITT.

(2) Frederick Dorchak.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2009

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

1-2, 4, 14-17, 19-20, 22-23 and 25

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Mr. Dorchak agreed to amend the claims as reflected in the accompanying Examiner's Amendment in order to place the case in condition for allowance.

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Time: _____

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)